

The years before retirement have a way of exposing old insurance decisions. A term life policy bought when the children were toddlers may be nearing the end of its level premium period. A group disability benefit that once felt generous may disappear the day employment ends. A permanent life insurance policy may have cash value, loans, riders, or premium requirements that have not been examined in a decade. Long-term care, which many families pushed aside at age 45 because it felt remote, suddenly becomes a real planning issue at 60.

Pre-retirement insurance reviews are different from the coverage conversations people have earlier in life. At 35, insurance planning often centers on replacing income, paying the mortgage, protecting children, and making sure a surviving spouse can keep the household stable. At 62, the questions change. You may be trying to protect a retirement income plan, avoid becoming a financial burden to adult children, preserve estate liquidity, fund a buy-sell agreement, or decide whether a policy still earns its place in the overall plan.

A good review is not about owning more insurance. It is about identifying which risks still exist, which risks have faded, and which risks have become more expensive because retirement is near.

Why insurance deserves a fresh look before retirement

Most households accumulate insurance in layers. Employer-provided life insurance comes with a benefits package. Term life insurance is purchased after marriage, after having children, or after buying a home. Disability insurance may be added during peak earning years. A whole life insurance or universal life insurance policy might have been bought for estate planning, business insurance planning, or long-term savings discipline. Later, someone hears about long-term care insurance, hybrid long-term care insurance, or self-funding long-term care and adds another decision to the pile.

The problem is that policies do not update themselves when life changes. Insurance after divorce often needs urgent beneficiary planning, yet many people forget to remove an ex-spouse from an old policy. Insurance after changing jobs can leave gaps when group insurance ends or becomes portable only at a higher premium. Insurance after career changes can affect disability definitions, income protection, and underwriting. Insurance after having children creates new needs, but insurance after children become financially independent may reduce others.

Retirement also changes the math. The human capital you were protecting, your future earnings, becomes smaller as you approach the end of full-time work. At the same time, your financial capital, retirement accounts, home equity, business interests, and taxable investments, may be larger and more vulnerable to health care shocks, taxes, market declines, and family conflict. That shift is the reason an insurance gap analysis should be part of serious retirement planning.

Start with the purpose, not the policy

One of the most common insurance misconceptions is that a policy is either “good” or “bad” in isolation. In practice, a policy is useful only if it solves a current or future problem at a reasonable cost. I have seen inexpensive term policies that no longer served a meaningful purpose, and I have seen expensive permanent life insurance policies that were doing important work for estate liquidity, wealth transfer, or business succession planning.

Before reviewing premiums, riders, or cash value, identify the job each policy is supposed to do. A life insurance needs analysis for a 58-year-old couple may consider remaining mortgage debt, pension survivor benefits, Social Security timing, college support for a late-born child, care for a disabled family member, charitable intent, income

replacement for a spouse, and estate settlement costs. For high-income households, life insurance and estate planning may also involve trust-owned life insurance, inheritance planning, and liquidity for taxes or equalization among heirs.

The question is not, "Do we still need life insurance?" The better question is, "What would financially break, become unfair, or become difficult if this insured person died in the next 10 years?" That phrasing tends to produce better answers.

For example, a couple with \$2.5 million in retirement assets, no debt, and two financially independent children may not need a large term life policy for income replacement. But if one spouse has a pension with no survivor benefit, or if most assets are tied up in a family business or real estate, life insurance in retirement could still serve a clear purpose. Another household with modest savings and a dependent spouse may need coverage even after the mortgage is gone.

Life insurance before retirement: what to review carefully

Life insurance reviews should begin with a complete inventory. Many people know they have coverage, but not the exact type, owner, beneficiary, premium schedule, conversion rights, cash value, loan balance, or expiration date. Those details matter.

Term life insurance is often straightforward, but its simplicity can hide timing problems. A 20-year term policy purchased at 42 may become dramatically more expensive at 62 when the level term period ends. If you still need coverage, the options could include keeping it at annually increasing rates, converting some or all of it to permanent life insurance if the policy permits, applying for a new policy subject to insurance underwriting, or reducing the death benefit. Health changes can limit choices. Waiting until the final month of a term period is rarely wise.

Permanent life insurance deserves a more technical review. Whole life insurance, universal life insurance, variable universal life, and indexed universal life policies can behave very differently. Some policies have guaranteed premiums and death benefits. Others depend on interest crediting, cost of insurance charges, market-linked performance, or flexible premium assumptions. A policy that looked well-funded 15 years ago may now require higher premiums to avoid lapse. This is especially important with universal life insurance purchased when illustrated interest rates were higher than current assumptions.

Policy cash value can be useful, but it is not free money. Policy loans may reduce the death benefit, create interest charges, and cause tax problems if the policy lapses with a large gain. Life insurance taxation is generally favorable when a death benefit is paid to beneficiaries, but surrendering a policy, taking withdrawals above basis, or mishandling a modified endowment contract can produce taxable income. Before replacing or surrendering a policy, request an in-force illustration and have someone qualified review the numbers.

Policy replacement deserves caution. Sometimes replacement is appropriate, especially when an old policy is underperforming, overpriced, or mismatched to the current need. But replacement can restart surrender charge periods, trigger new contestability periods, require underwriting, alter guarantees, and sacrifice valuable old policy provisions. The cleanest comparison is not old premium versus new premium. It is the full trade-off among death benefit, guarantees, cash value, tax basis, health rating, riders, flexibility, and the purpose of the coverage.

Beneficiary planning is another area where small errors create large consequences. Beneficiary mistakes include naming minor children directly, failing to update beneficiaries after marriage or divorce, leaving assets to a person with special needs without proper planning, naming an estate unintentionally, or creating unequal results that conflict with the will or trust. Life insurance and probate are closely connected because properly named

beneficiaries often allow death benefits to pass outside probate, while naming the estate may pull proceeds into the probate process and expose them to delays or creditor claims.

Policy ownership also matters. If you own a policy on your own life, the death benefit may be included in your taxable estate under federal estate tax rules, though many households will not owe federal estate tax because exemption amounts are high by historical standards and subject to legislative change. For larger estates, trust-owned life insurance may be used to keep proceeds outside the estate if structured correctly. This is not a do-it-yourself area. Ownership transfers can have gift tax implications, and the three-year rule can bring transferred policies back into an estate if death occurs too soon after transfer.

Employer-provided life insurance and the retirement cliff

Group insurance through work can create a false sense of security. Employer-provided life insurance is convenient, often inexpensive, and sometimes available without medical underwriting. It may include a basic employer-paid benefit, supplemental employee coverage, spouse coverage, and accidental death coverage. During working years, that can be valuable.

The weakness appears when retirement approaches. Group insurance may reduce at certain ages, become more expensive, or end when employment ends. Some plans allow portability or conversion, but the cost can surprise people. Federal employees should pay particular attention to FEGLI, the Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance program. FEGLI can be a meaningful benefit, but elections before retirement affect premiums and reductions later. Keeping certain multiples of coverage into retirement may become costly, while reducing coverage may leave a spouse exposed if other assets are not sufficient.

Public employees, educators, and federal employees often have pension benefits that interact with life insurance decisions. A strong survivor pension may reduce the need for private life insurance. A single-life pension election may increase it. A retiree with a government pension and little Social Security survivor protection for a spouse may need a more customized analysis. Insurance for educators and insurance for public employees should be reviewed alongside pension options, not separately.

Disability insurance: the overlooked pre-retirement risk

Many people stop thinking about disability insurance once they reach their late 50s. That can be a mistake. The last decade of work is often the most important savings period. Earnings are high, children may be launched, the mortgage may be shrinking, and retirement contributions may be at their peak. A disabling illness or injury at 58 can interrupt catch-up contributions, force early retirement, increase withdrawals, and permanently lower Social Security or pension expectations.

Short-term disability and long-term disability serve different purposes. Short-term disability typically covers weeks or months. Long-term disability may cover years, sometimes to Social Security normal retirement age, depending on the policy. The definition of disability is critical. "Own occupation" coverage is generally stronger than "any occupation" coverage, especially for physicians, executives, attorneys, specialized tradespeople, and business owners. Benefit periods, elimination periods, offsets, cost-of-living adjustments, residual benefits, and exclusions all affect real value.

Group insurance through an employer is often the main source of disability coverage. The benefit may replace 50 percent to 60 percent of income, but bonuses, commissions, and deferred compensation may be excluded. If the employer pays the premium, benefits are generally taxable to the employee when received. If the employee pays with after-tax dollars, benefits are generally received income tax-free. That difference can be substantial. A taxable 60 percent benefit may feel closer to 40 percent after taxes, depending on the household.

Disability coverage for business owners requires special attention. Personal long-term disability protects income, but it may not pay business overhead, fund a buyout, or protect key employees. A small-business [Rise North Capital](#) owner may need disability overhead expense insurance, disability buy-sell funding, key person insurance, or executive benefits designed around continuity. Business succession planning should address both death and disability. Many buy-sell agreements are funded for death with life insurance but have vague or unfunded disability provisions. That gap can turn a medical crisis into a business dispute.

Disability coverage for educators and public employees can be more complex than it first appears. Some systems provide disability retirement benefits, but eligibility rules may be strict, benefits may be reduced by other income, and approval can take time. Sick leave banks and union benefits help, but they are not always a substitute for long-term disability coverage. A teacher with 25 years of service may be in a very different position from a teacher with 8 years of service, even if their salaries are similar.

Long-term care is not the same as health insurance

Long-term care planning is where many retirement plans are weakest. People often assume Medicare covers extended custodial care, but Medicare and long-term care are a limited match. Medicare may cover certain skilled nursing or rehabilitation services after qualifying hospital care, subject to rules and time limits. It generally does not cover ongoing custodial care for someone who needs help bathing, dressing, eating, transferring, toileting, or managing cognitive impairment.

Long-term care costs vary widely by region, setting, and level of care. Home care, assisted living, memory care, and nursing home care can differ dramatically. In many communities, professional home care for several hours a day can cost thousands per month, while facility care can be significantly higher. Inflation in care costs has historically been a serious concern, especially for households planning 20 or 30 years ahead.

Long-term care insurance can transfer part of that risk. Traditional policies may reimburse qualified care expenses up to a daily or monthly benefit, after an elimination period, for a defined benefit pool or period. Inflation protection matters, particularly for buyers in their 50s or early 60s. A policy with no inflation protection may look strong at issue and feel thin 20 years later.

Hybrid long-term care insurance combines life insurance or an annuity with long-term care benefits. These policies appeal to people who dislike the “use it or lose it” nature of traditional long-term care insurance. If long-term care is never needed, heirs may receive a death benefit, or the owner may have some return-of-premium feature depending on the contract. The trade-off is cost, complexity, and sometimes less long-term care leverage per premium dollar compared with older traditional designs. Hybrid policies can work well, but they should be compared carefully against the household’s actual risk and liquidity.

Self-funding long-term care is possible for some families. A household with substantial liquid assets, a paid-off home, stable guaranteed income, and no strong legacy goal may choose to retain the risk. But self-funding should be an intentional decision, not the default result of procrastination. The practical question is whether one spouse’s care could damage the surviving spouse’s lifestyle. A \$300,000 care event is manageable for some families and devastating for others.

Medicaid planning is separate from long-term care insurance planning and has strict eligibility rules that vary by state. Relying on Medicaid may limit care choices and requires careful legal guidance. Families with modest assets should understand the rules, but they should not confuse Medicaid with a flexible private care plan.

A compact pre-retirement insurance checklist

Use this checklist as a working document, not a one-time exercise. The goal is to organize the review before making decisions about keeping, reducing, replacing, or adding coverage.

1. Gather every policy and benefit statement, including individual life insurance, group insurance, disability insurance, long-term care insurance, hybrid policies, executive benefits, and business insurance agreements.
2. Confirm the owner, insured, beneficiary, premium, death benefit, cash value, loan balance, riders, exclusions, conversion rights, portability rights, and expiration or reduction dates.
3. Match each policy to a current purpose, such as income protection, estate liquidity, debt repayment, survivor support, buy-sell funding, key person protection, or long-term care risk management.
4. Stress-test retirement scenarios, including death before retirement, disability during peak earning years, long-term care for one spouse, early retirement, business transition failure, and a major market decline.
5. Review tax, legal, and estate planning issues with qualified professionals before surrendering, replacing, transferring ownership, or changing beneficiaries on significant policies.

Business owners need a different review

Insurance planning for small-business owners rarely fits a consumer checklist. The owner's family, company, employees, lenders, and co-owners may all depend on the same person. Life insurance for business owners often serves multiple purposes, and confusion over purpose leads to poor coverage design.

Key person insurance protects the business from the financial loss caused by the death of an essential employee or owner. The company usually owns the policy, pays the premium, and receives the death benefit. That money may help recruit a replacement, reassure lenders, retain staff, or replace lost revenue. It is not the same as personal life insurance for the owner's spouse.

Buy-sell funding is different. In a cross-purchase or entity-purchase arrangement, life insurance may fund the purchase of a deceased owner's interest. Without funding, surviving owners may inherit a new partner in the form of the deceased owner's spouse or children, while the family may hold an illiquid business interest with no clear path to cash. A well-drafted buy-sell agreement and properly aligned insurance can prevent that outcome.

Disability is often the weak link. A buy-sell agreement may define permanent disability, but if there is no disability insurance or funding mechanism, the agreement may be difficult to execute. A disabled owner might still need income, the business might need capital, and the other owners may be unable or unwilling to buy the interest at fair value. Business succession planning should address disability coverage for business owners with the same seriousness as death.

Executive benefits can also complicate retirement. Split-dollar life insurance, nonqualified deferred compensation, bonus plans, and employer-owned policies may have tax and cash flow consequences at separation from service. Before selling a business, retiring, or transferring ownership to children, review all insurance arrangements in the corporate records. I have seen transactions delayed because an old collateral assignment, loan arrangement, or policy ownership issue surfaced late in due diligence.

Life events that should trigger a policy review

Insurance planning by life stage works better than reviewing coverage only when premiums rise. Major life events change both the need for insurance and the people affected by it. Insurance after marriage may involve protecting a spouse, coordinating group benefits, and updating beneficiaries. Insurance after divorce may require coverage to secure alimony or child support, remove an ex-spouse where appropriate, or comply with a divorce decree. Insurance for parents may need to address guardianship funding, special needs planning, or college

costs. Insurance after buying a home often adds a mortgage protection need, although that does not always require a separate mortgage insurance product.

Changing jobs can alter life, disability, and long-term care options. Some employer plans offer guaranteed issue windows when first eligible, and missing those windows can mean medical underwriting later. High-income households should examine whether group disability caps leave a large uninsured income gap. A professional earning \$350,000 may discover that a group plan capped at \$10,000 per month replaces far less income than expected, particularly after tax.

Career changes also matter. Moving from employee to consultant may eliminate group insurance. Moving from private sector employment to public employment may add pension or disability retirement benefits. Moving into business ownership may create key person exposure, loan covenant requirements, or personal guarantees. Insurance during major life events is not just about adding coverage. Sometimes it means reducing, restructuring, or retitling what already exists.

Common mistakes that show up in pre-retirement reviews

Certain problems appear repeatedly in policy reviews. The first is outdated beneficiaries. A will does not usually override a properly completed beneficiary designation. If a life insurance policy names a former spouse or deceased parent, the insurer may be bound by the form on file, subject to state law and plan rules. Retirement accounts and life insurance both deserve beneficiary audits.

The second mistake is relying too heavily on employer coverage. Group insurance is valuable, but retirement, job loss, health changes, and plan amendments can alter it. Individual vs. Employer coverage is not an either-or decision. Many households use group insurance as a base and individual coverage for needs that must survive a job change.

The third mistake is ignoring policy performance. Permanent policies need maintenance. Universal life insurance can lapse if underfunded. Whole life insurance may be stable, but dividends are not guaranteed. Variable policies can suffer from poor market performance and rising internal costs. Requesting an in-force illustration every few years is a practical discipline.

The fourth mistake is treating long-term care as a yes-or-no insurance decision. Some families buy traditional coverage, some buy hybrid coverage, some self-fund, and some use a combination. The best answer depends on assets, income, health, family support, state rules, legacy goals, and risk tolerance.

The fifth mistake is making isolated decisions. Dropping life insurance because “the kids are grown” may be reasonable, unless the spouse depends on a pension that dies with the retiree. Surrendering a cash value policy may be reasonable, unless it creates taxable income or removes estate liquidity. Declining long-term care insurance may be reasonable, unless one spouse’s family history suggests a high likelihood of cognitive decline and the asset base cannot absorb years of care.

Premiums, underwriting, and timing

Insurance premiums tend to become less forgiving with age. Health changes that feel minor medically can matter in underwriting. Sleep apnea, diabetes, heart rhythm issues, cancer history, elevated liver enzymes, anxiety medication, build, tobacco use, and recent surgeries can affect offers. For long-term care insurance, cognitive screening and mobility can be especially important. Waiting for a “better time” may mean applying when health is worse.

That does not mean every pre-retiree should rush to buy new coverage. It means timing should be intentional. If a term policy conversion deadline is 18 months away, review it now. If retirement is planned in two years and employer-paid disability coverage will end, understand the exposure now. If long-term care insurance is being considered, compare options while still healthy enough to qualify.

Premium affordability should be tested against retirement income, not current salary alone. A \$6,000 annual premium may be manageable during working years and uncomfortable after retirement. Some policies allow reduced paid-up options, death benefit reductions, premium offsets, or other adjustments. Those options can preserve value without forcing an all-or-nothing decision.

Insurance riders also deserve attention. Waiver of premium riders, accelerated death benefit riders, chronic illness riders, long-term care riders, guaranteed insurability riders, and return of premium features can change how a policy functions. Riders vary by company and contract, and the terminology can mislead. An accelerated death benefit for terminal illness is not the same as robust long-term care coverage. A chronic illness rider may require permanent inability to perform activities of daily living, while a long-term care rider may have different triggers and tax treatment.

Coordinating insurance with retirement income and estate planning

Insurance planning for retirees should sit beside retirement income planning. A surviving spouse's income may decline when one Social Security benefit disappears, a pension changes, or annuity payments stop. Life insurance can bridge that gap, but so can choosing a joint-and-survivor pension, building liquid reserves, delaying Social Security, or adjusting the investment plan. The right solution depends on cost and flexibility.

Insurance and legacy planning are closely linked. Some families use life insurance to leave a predictable inheritance while spending other assets freely. Others use it to equalize inheritances when one child receives a family business or farm. For example, if a daughter will take over a closely held company and a son will not be involved, life insurance can provide liquidity for the son without forcing a sale or overleveraging the business. The details must be coordinated with legal documents, valuations, and ownership agreements.

Estate liquidity matters even for families below federal estate tax thresholds. Final expenses, debts, state estate or inheritance taxes in certain jurisdictions, property maintenance, business transition costs, and family settlement expenses can create cash needs. Illiquid wealth can be impressive on paper and stressful in administration. Life insurance can provide cash at the exact moment liquidity is needed, provided the beneficiary and ownership structure are correct.

Trusts can help, but they add complexity. Trust-owned life insurance may support estate tax planning, creditor protection goals, or controlled distributions to beneficiaries. Yet trusts must be drafted properly, funded correctly, and administered with care. Crummey notices, premium gifts, trustee duties, and incidents of ownership are not casual details. If the policy is large enough to justify trust ownership, it is large enough to justify competent legal and tax advice.

How to make the review productive

A productive insurance review is part factual, part strategic. The factual side gathers contracts, statements, and benefit summaries. The strategic side asks what the family is trying to protect. Both are necessary. A policy summary without planning context is just paperwork. A planning conversation without contract details can lead to false confidence.

Bring current statements, original policy pages if available, employer benefit summaries, pension estimates, buy-sell agreements, trust documents, divorce decrees if relevant, and recent tax returns. For permanent policies, request in-force illustrations under current assumptions and at least one conservative assumption. For long-term care policies, confirm the benefit pool, inflation protection, elimination period, covered settings, shared care provisions, premium history, and rate increase options. For disability insurance, confirm the definition of income, taxability of benefits, offsets, and how long benefits can last.

The best reviews often lead to modest adjustments rather than dramatic changes. A beneficiary correction. A term conversion for a smaller amount. A reduction of an unnecessary rider. A decision to keep a policy until a pension election is finalized. A long-term risenorthcapital.com Rise North Capital directions care funding plan that combines a smaller policy with earmarked investments. These are not flashy moves, but they can prevent expensive mistakes.

A practical way to think about coverage adequacy

Coverage adequacy is not measured by the size of the death benefit or the thickness of the policy binder. It is measured by whether the plan delivers money, care, or flexibility when a predictable risk becomes real. Before retirement, the key risks are usually premature death, disability before work ends, long-term care needs, business disruption, and estate liquidity problems.

A household entering retirement with no debt, strong guaranteed income, diversified investments, and adult children may need less life insurance than it once did. The same household may need more attention to long-term care costs. A business owner with most net worth tied to the company may need life insurance, disability insurance, and succession funding long after the children are independent. A federal employee with FEGLI and a pension may need to compare the cost of keeping group life insurance against private alternatives and survivor benefit choices. A recently divorced pre-retiree may need a complete reset of beneficiary planning, policy ownership, and income protection.

Insurance risk management before retirement is not about fear. It is about removing avoidable fragility from a financial plan. Retirement works best when the major “what ifs” have been named, priced, and addressed. Some risks can be transferred to an insurer. Some can be retained. Some can be reduced through legal planning, savings, investment design, or family agreements. The mistake is leaving them unexamined until health changes, employment ends, or a claim forces the issue.

A final review five to ten years before retirement gives you more choices. You are more likely to qualify for coverage, more likely to have income to pay premiums, and more likely to correct old mistakes without pressure. That window is valuable. Use it to make each policy justify its place, align insurance with the retirement plan, and give your family fewer financial surprises when life changes course.

Rise North Capital
25 Braintree Hill Office Pk #403
Braintree, MA 02184
(781) 519-6969

